Properties of Atomically Uniform Pb Films on Si M. H. Upton, T. Miller, and T.-C. Chiang University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

- Introduction
- Growth mode of Pb/Si(111)
- Bilayer Electronic Oscillations
- Dispersion in Pb/Si(111) films
- Thermal Stability of Pb/Si(111) films

Why Study Thin Films?

Physics reasons

- •Confined systems
- •Materials interaction, coupling
- •Growth

Si





Why Study Thin Films?

Practical reasons

•What happens as electronics get smaller?

•Thermal stability

First transistor Newer transistor

Our Experiment

- Grow Pb films on 100 K Pb terminated Si with Molecular Beam Epitaxy (MBE).
- 2. Study sample with photoemission (photons in, electrons out)



Pb/Si Previous Growth Work - STM

Si



•200 K growth
•Flat islands
•Preferred heights Hupalo et al., PRB 2001 •77 K growth
•Flat surface islands
(uneven substrate)
Altfeder, Narayanamurti, and Chen, PRL 2002

subsurface steps

Quantum Well States

- Electron
 confined in
 film ⇒
 Particle in a
 box states
- Need close to layer-bylayer growth to see states.



Pb/Si Layer by Layer Growth

- Layer by layer growth despite large lattice mismatch
- Odd ML→sharp, intense peaks
 Even ML→broad shallow peaks



Film Thickness Determination

- Deposition time between 1st and 3rd major peak is 4 ML
- Seconds/ML gives total thickness of film
- Initial substrate α or β phase





Quantum Well Confinement

- Sharp peaks Good confinement between Si VBM and Fermi Level
- Broad peaks Partial confinement below Si VBM





Film Electronic Structure



Off Normal Spectroscopy



Effective Mass Refresher

 Curvature of energy band near high symmetry direction

$$E \sim \frac{\hbar^2 k_{\scriptscriptstyle ||}^2}{2m_e} \frac{1}{m^*}$$

- Curved band \Rightarrow low m*
- Flat band \Rightarrow high m*



Effective Mass Measurements



- Theory good at high BE
 - Aberrant effective mass near Si VBM



Dispersion Measurement – 5ML



Dispersion Measurement – 5ML



Si Band Edge Effect - Anticrossing



New Anticrossing Observations

8 ML Ag/Ge(111) S.-J. Tang et al. PRL 96, 216804



Measuring Thermal Stability



Thermal Stability



- 5-9 ML has bilayer oscillation as predicted
- Low ML unusually unstable

Calculation

• S = 2nd derivative of Surface Energy

$$S = \frac{E(N+1) + E(N-1)}{2} - E(N)$$

• Si lattice is compressed to match Pb lattice

Next: Electron-Phonon Coupling



Next: Electron-Phonon Coupling

Photoelectron Intensity (arb. units)



•Electron-Phonon coupling from peak width change with temp

•Peak position moves, how much oscillates

0.5 0.0 Binding Energy (eV)

Summary

- Atomically uniform films
- Bilayer electronic oscillations
- Quantum well sub-band dispersion
- Thermal stability of films shows even-odd oscillations



Backups follow

Phase Shift

$$\phi_{\text{Pb/Si}} = \text{Re}\left[-\cos^{-1}\left(2\frac{E-E_L}{E_U-E_L}-1\right)\right] + \phi_0$$

from N. V. Smith, Phys. Rev. B **32**, 3549 (1985).

$$\phi_{\text{Pb/Vacuum}} = A \left[\text{Re} \left[-\cos^{-1} \left(2 \frac{E - L}{U - L} - 1 \right) \right] + B \right]$$



Bilayer Oscillations



•Not perfect so beating



$\sqrt{3x} \sqrt{3}$ Pb/Si(111) Surface



Illustrations from Saitoh et al. Surface Science 154,394 (1985).

Number of Q.W. States

$$\underbrace{2Nk(E)t}_{\text{phase change in film}} + \underbrace{\phi_V(E)}_{\text{at vacuum}} + \underbrace{\phi_I(E)}_{\text{at interface}} = 2\pi n$$

Now suppose $n = N + \tilde{n}$. Then

$$2Nkt + \phi = 2\pi(N + \tilde{n})$$
$$2N(k - k_L)t + \phi = 2\pi\tilde{n}$$

Dispersion Measurement – 6ML



 θ (deg.)





N (ML)

STM Results

•200 K growth, 5-7-9 ... ML grow sequentially on β phase Hupalo, Yeh, Berbil-Bautista, Kremmer, Abram, and Tringides PRB 2001. •77 K growth, flat topped islands observed at coverages 5-35 ML on annealed interface *Altfeder*, Narayanamurti, and Chen PRL 2002.



3 ML at 200 K on β phase *Hupalo et al.*

Schottky Barrier



What causes high m*?

- Proximity to Si VBMHybridization with Si band
- •Lateral strain
- •Small in-plan coherence length

Anticrossing

Example: Si band gap.
Electrons below Si VBM have different wave functions that those above Si VBM, => as they approach they have different energies and the band splits.



Figure from Kittel, 1996

Experiment

- 1. Grow Pb on 100 K Si(111)- $(\sqrt{3x} \sqrt{3})$ – Pb α (4/3 ML) or β (1/3 ML) reconstruction with Molecular Beam Epitaxy (MBE).
- 2. Study sample with photoemission (photons in, electrons out)

